

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/052,705	GASS ET AL.
	Examiner Ghassem Alie	Art Unit 3724

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ghassem Alie.

(3) _____.

(2) David A. Fanning (Reg. No. 33,233).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 August 2005

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was informed that the instant application is in condition of allowance. However, since there are so many related cases and it is very easy for the Examiner to miss an issue, the applicant representative was asked to disclose any application or recent patent with claims which affect the patentability of the claims in the instant application, as set forth in 37 CFR 1.56. Applicant's representative informed the Examiner that there is no application or recent patent with claims that affect the patentability of the claims in the instant invention..